



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigniia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/494,714 | 01/31/2000 | CHRISTOPHER H. GENLY | INTL-0341-US(P8391) | 3825 |
| 759 | 90 07/25/2003 | | | |
| TIMOTHY N TROP | | | EXAMINER | |
| TROP PRUNER HU & MILES P C 8554 KATY FREEWAY | | | ARMSTRONG, ANGELA A | |
| STE 100 HOUSTON, TX 77024 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2654 | 3 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003 | ~~~~ |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| - N | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/494,714 | GENLY, CHRISTOPHER H. | | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Angela A. Armstrong | 2654 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 02 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION IN | N FOR ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in | | | | |
| | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the maili b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | · | | | | | |
| 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> | | Richemond Dorvi: | | | | |
| | | Primary Examine: | | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | <u> </u> | | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)



Application No. 009/494,714

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amended claim language changes the scope of the claims, and would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 10. Other: In response to Applicant's request for references that teach subtracting undesired signals from microphone signals, applicant is referred to the attached PTO-892..